

1 JAMES N. KRAMER (STATE BAR NO. 154709)
jkramer@orrick.com
2 NANCY E. HARRIS (STATE BAR NO. 197042)
nharris@orrick.com
3 REBECCA F. LUBENS (STATE BAR NO. 240683)
rlubens@orrick.com
4 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
The Orrick Building
5 405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
6 Telephone: +1-415-773-5700
Facsimile: +1-415-773-5759
7

8 Attorneys for Defendant
Lisa C. Berry

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13
14 THE NEW YORK CITY EMPLOYEES'
15 RETIREMENT SYSTEM, *et al.*,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 LISA C. BERRY,

19 Defendant.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. C 08-0246-JW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING
CONTINUANCE OF DEFENDANT
LISA C. BERRY'S RESPONSE TO
COMPLAINT**

1 This stipulation is entered into by and among lead plaintiff The New York City
2 Pension Funds (“Lead Plaintiff”) and defendant Lisa C. Berry (“Defendant”).

3 WHEREAS, on January 14, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a putative class action titled *The*
4 *New York City Employees’ Retirement System et al. v. Berry*, C-08-0246-MHP (N.D. Cal.) (the
5 “Berry Action”);

6 WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12, a Related Case Order was entered and on
7 February 25, 2008, the Berry Action was reassigned to Judge Ware, who is presiding over *In re*
8 *Juniper Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation*, C-06-04327-JW (N.D. Cal.) (the “Consolidated
9 Action”);

10 WHEREAS, on March 5, 2008, the Court approved the parties’ joint stipulation to
11 extend Defendant Berry’s time to respond to the Berry Complaint until after the Court ruled on
12 certain defendants’ motion to dismiss in the Consolidated Action;

13 WHEREAS, on April 25, 2008, the Court approved the parties’ joint stipulation to
14 extend Defendant Berry’s time to respond to the Berry Complaint to June 16, 2008, in light of
15 pending discussions regarding mediation of the claims;

16 WHEREAS, on May 28, 2008, the Court approved the parties’ joint stipulation to
17 extend Defendant Berry’s time to respond to the Berry Complaint to September 15, 2008, in order
18 to accommodate the parties’ settlement efforts and directed the parties to file a Joint Status Report
19 on August 29, 2008;

20 WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiff and defendants in the Consolidated Action are
21 scheduled to participate in a mediation on September 4-5, 2008;

22 WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the parties believe it will conserve the
23 resources of the parties and the Court if defendant Lisa C. Berry’s deadline to respond to the
24 Berry Complaint is continued until September 29, 2008;

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 NOW THEREFORE Lead Plaintiff and Defendant jointly submit this proposed
2 order to the Court to continue the deadline for Defendant Lisa C. Berry to file a response to the
3 Berry Complaint to September 29, 2008.

4
5 Dated: August 29, 2008

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
JAMES N. KRAMER
NANCY E. HARRIS
REBECCA F. LUBENS
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

6
7
8
9 /s/ NANCY E. HARRIS
NANCY E. HARRIS
Attorneys for Defendant
Lisa C. Berry

10
11 Dated: August 29, 2008

12 LOWEY DANNENBERG COHEN & HART, P.C.
One North Broadway, Suite 509
White Plains, NY 10601-2310
13 BARBARA J. HART
(Pro Hac Vice)
14 DAVID C. HARRISON
(Pro Hac Vice)
One North Broadway, Suite 509
White Plains, NY 10601-2310
15 Telephone: 914-997-0500
16 Telecopier: 914-997-0035

17
18
19 /s/ DAVID C. HARRISON
DAVID C. HARRISON
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
The New York City Pension Funds

20
21
22 SCHUBERT & REED LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1050
23 San Francisco, California 94111

24 Local Counsel for Plaintiffs
The New York City Pension Funds
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION

I, Nancy E. Harris, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF DEFENDANT LISA C. BERRY'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that David C. Harrison has concurred in this filing.

Dated: August 29, 2008

By: /s/ Nancy E. Harris
Nancy E. Harris

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation and to accommodate the parties' settlement efforts, Defendant Lisa C. Berry's deadline to respond to the Complaint is extended to September 29, 2008.

Dated: _____

HON. JAMES WARE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE